Low-Power Testing

What is this chapter about?
- Introduce the various aspects of low-power testing
- Focus on
  - Issues arising from excessive test power
  - Structural and algorithmic solutions proposed to alleviate the low-power test problems
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1. Introduction
- Test in the past → High Fault Coverage
  - Short Test Time
  - Small Test Data Volume
  - Low Test Development Efforts
  - Low area overhead
- Test from now → ...
  - Low Power Test
  - High Test Quality (e.g., high small-delay detection capability)

Industry generally resorts to ad-hoc solutions:
- Over sizing power rails
- Over sizing packages and use of cooling systems
- Test with reduced operation frequency
- Partitioning and appropriate test planning

Costly or longer test time
2. Energy and Power Modeling

Power dissipation in CMOS ICs:

- Static power: power consumed when the circuit is idle (leakage power)
- Dynamic power: power consumed when the circuit is switching its state

\[ P_{\text{dyn}} = C_L V_{dd}^2 N_0 \leq 1.1/T \]

Average power consumed during the test session

\[ P_{\text{average}} = E_{\text{total}} / (\text{Length}_{\text{test}} \cdot T) \]

Peak power consumed during the test session

\[ P_{\text{peak}} = \max_k P_{\text{inst}}(V_k) = \max_k \left( E_{V_k} / t_{\text{small}} \right) \]

Energy consumed after application of \((V_{k-1}, V_k)\)

\[ E_{\text{ink}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_0 \cdot V_{DD}^2 \cdot \sum_i s_i(k) \cdot f_i \]

Total energy consumed during test application

\[ E_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot c_0 \cdot V_{DD}^2 \cdot \sum_k \sum_i s_i(k) \cdot f_i \]

Average power consumed during the test session

\[ P_{\text{average}} = E_{\text{total}} / (\text{Length}_{\text{test}} \cdot T) \]

Peak power consumed during the test session

\[ P_{\text{peak}} = \max_k P_{\text{inst}}(V_k) = \max_k \left( E_{V_k} / t_{\text{small}} \right) \]

3. Test Power Issues

Thermal effects

- Heat produced during the functioning of a circuit is proportional to the dissipated power (Joule effect) and is responsible for die temperature increase
- Too high temperature can provoke irreversible structural degradations (premature destruction)
- Too high temperature may affect circuit performance or can have an impact on the ICs reliability (corrosion, electro-migration, hot-carrier-induced defects, dielectric breakdown, …)
3. Test Power Issues

Noise phenomena

- Power supply noise \( L(\text{di/dt}) \) due to current variations through inductive connections (probes for wafer testing, pins for packaged circuits)
- “Ground bounce” or “Voltage surge/droop” - may change the rise/fall times of some signals in the circuit
- IR drop (resistive effect) and crosstalk (capacitive effects) – similar effects

Good dies fail the test → manufacturing yield loss (overkill)

4. Low-Power Scan Testing - Basics

Slow-speed scan testing

4. Low-Power Scan Testing - Basics

At-speed scan testing with a LOC test scheme

- Last shift \( V_1 \) applied
- Capture & Launch \( V_2 \) applied
- Response capture
- Shift

Launch is caused by the difference between the values loaded by the last shift pulse (\( V_1 \)) and the first capture pulse (\( V_2 \))

SE easy to implement, but lower fault coverage than LOS

4. Low-Power Scan Testing - Basics

At-speed scan testing with a LOS test scheme

- Last shift \( V_1 \) applied
- Capture & Launch \( V_2 \) applied
- Response capture
- Shift

Launch is caused by the difference between the values loaded by the next-to-last (\( V_1 \)) and the last (\( V_2 \)) shift pulses

Higher fault coverage than LOC, but SE not easy to implement

4. Low-Power Scan Testing Basics

The problem of excessive power during scan testing can be split into two sub-problems: excessive power during the shift operation (called shift power) and excessive power during the capture operation (called capture power)

At-speed scan testing especially vulnerable to excessive IR drop caused by the high switching activity generated in the CUT between launch and capture → yield loss

4. Low-Power Scan Testing

ATPG and X-filling techniques (1/3)

- The fraction of don’t care bits (X’s) in a given ATPG test cube is nearly always a very large fraction of the total number of bits despite the application of state-of-the-art dynamic and static test pattern compaction techniques

In classical ATPG, X’s are randomly filled and then the resulting fully specified pattern is simulated to confirm detection of all targeted faults and to measure the amount of “fortuitous detection”
4. Low-Power Scan Testing

Power-aware ATPG algorithms (2/3)

- Clever assignment of don't care bits in combinational ATPG in order to minimize the number of transitions between two consecutive test vectors.
- Minimizing the difference between the before-capture and after-capture output values of a scan flip-flop.

4. Low-Power Scan Testing

Low power test vector compaction

- Static compaction minimizes the number of test cubes generated by an ATPG tool by merging test cubes that are compatible in all bit positions.
  - Example 1: 11XX0 and 1X0X0 are compatible (→ 110X0).
  - Example 2: 11XX0 and 011X1 are not compatible.

- Conventional approaches target the minimum number of final test cubes.
  - [Sankaralingam 2000] used a greedy heuristic for merging test cubes in a way that minimizes the number of transitions (use of weighted transition metric).
  - Significant reductions in average and peak power consumption.

4. Low-Power Scan Testing

Scan cell ordering (1/2)

- Need to change the order of bits in each vector during test application.
- Scan cell reordering may lead to significant power reduction (up to 66%).
- No overhead, FC and test time unchanged, low impact on design flow.
- May lead to routing congestion problems.

4. Low-Power Scan Testing

Scan cell ordering (2/2)

- Partition the circuit in clusters (by using geographical criteria).
- Then reorder the scan cells within each cluster so as to reduce WSA.
- Clusters are then stitched together using the nearest neighbor criteria.
- Good tradeoff between test power reduction and scan chain length.

4. Low-Power Scan Testing

Power-aware X-filling heuristics (3/3)

- From a set of deterministic test cubes, the main goal of these techniques is to assign don't care bits of each test pattern so that the occurrence of transitions in the scan chain is minimized.
  - Adjacent filling or MT-filling
  - 0-filling
  - 1-filling
  - 000110000000 with MT-filling
  - 000100000000 with 0-filling
  - 011111011011 with 1-filling.

- Applicable at the end of the design process, no area overhead.
- Reduce test power consumption by reasonable increase of test length.
- A few solutions exist for reducing power during test cycle (LOC).

4. Low-Power Scan Testing

Low power (gated) scan cells

- Gate scan cells block transitions during scan shifting.
- Very effective in test power reduction.
- Significant area overhead and performance degradation.

4. Low-Power Scan Testing

Clever assignment of don't care bits in combinational ATPG in order to minimize the number of transitions between two consecutive test vectors.

Minimizing the difference between the before-capture and after-capture output values of a scan flip-flop.
4. Low-Power Scan Testing

Scan chain segmentation

- The scan chain is partitioned into \( N \) segments
- One segment at a time is active during scan shifting
- Average power reduced by a factor of \( N \) with no impact on area and FC
- Clock power is reduced by gating the clock trees rather than the SE signals

Scan architecture modification

- Inserting logic elements (XOR gates) between scan cells in order to minimize the number of transitions occurring inside the scan chain
- Use of buffers (of various size) in multi-scan circuits to provoke a slight temporal shift between scan chains and reduce peak power

Token scan architecture (1/2)

- Scan architecture that uses the concept of a “token ring” to reduce shift power
- SI is broadcasted to all scan cells but only one scan cell is activated at a time
- An \( N \)-phase non-overlapping clocking scheme is applied with one clock for each scan cell

Token scan architecture (2/2)

- Alternative solution to avoid large area overhead of the \( N \) multiphase clock routes and inter-phase skews due to the different lengths of the \( N \) clock routes
- It embeds the multiphase clock generator into each scan cell
- Require the use of a new type of scan cells, called token scan cells

Scan clock splitting

- The two clocks are synchronous with the system clock and have the same period during shift operation except that they are shifted in time
- During capture operation, the two clocks operate as the system clock
- Lowers the transition density in the CUT, the scan chains and the clock tree

5. Low-Power BIST - Basics

- A test pattern generator (TPG) automatically generates test patterns for application to the inputs of the circuit under test (CUT)
- In-circuit TPGs constructed from LFSRs are most commonly used
- LFSRs are also used for output response analyzer (ORA)
- BIST is implemented as Test-per-scan or as test-per-clock
- Even if it is slower, test-per-scan is the industry preferred solution today
5. Low-Power BIST

Low power test pattern generators (1/3)

- Dual-Speed LFSRs is based on two LFSRs running at different frequencies
- Average power during test is reduced by connecting the CUT inputs with the highest transition densities to the low speed LFSR while CUT inputs with the lowest activity are connected to the normal speed LFSR

5. Low-Power BIST

Low power test pattern generators (2/3)

- Low transition random test pattern generator involves inserting an AND gate and a toggle flip-flop (TFF) between the LFSR and the input of the scan chain to increase the correlation of neighboring bits in the scan vectors
- TFF holds its previous values until it receives a 1 on its input. The same value (0 or 1) is repeatedly scanned into the scan chain until the value at the output of the AND gate becomes 1

5. Low-Power BIST

Low power test pattern generators (3/3)

- By carefully choosing the seed of the LFSR (choice of polynomial has no real influence)
- By inserting translating logic between the LFSR and the CUT to obtain weighted random test vectors
- By using Gray counters producing consecutive test vectors with only one bit difference in the case of deterministic testing of data paths

5. Low-Power BIST

Vector filtering BIST

- Prevent application of non-detecting (but consuming) vectors to the CUT
- A decoder is used to store the first and last vectors of each sub-sequence of consecutive non-detecting vectors to be filtered
- Minimizes average power without reducing fault coverage

5. Low-Power BIST

Circuit partitioning

- Partition the original circuit (using a graph partitioning algorithm that minimizes the cut size) into structural sub-circuits so each sub-circuit can be successively tested through different BIST sessions
- FC and test time are unchanged and area overhead is quite low
- Drawbacks are a slight penalty on performance and an impact on routing

5. Low-Power BIST

Power-aware test scheduling (1/3)

- The goal is to determine the blocks (memory, logic, analog, etc.) of a SoC to be tested in parallel at each stage of the BIST session in order to keep power dissipation under a specified limit while optimizing test time
- Some of the test resources (pattern generators and response analyzers) must be shared among the various blocks
5. Low-Power BIST

Power-aware test scheduling (2/3)

- The NP-complete test scheduling problem may be addressed by using a compatibility graph and heuristic-driven algorithms.
- For given power constraints and parameters related to the test organization (fixed, variable, or undefined test sessions with or without precedence constraints) or to the test structure (test bus width, test resources sharing), these solutions allow to optimize overall SOC test time.

5. Low-Power BIST

Power-aware test scheduling (3/3)

- Main focus is on total energy minimization under tester memory constraint.
- The test set is composed of core-level locally generated pseudo-random test patterns and additional deterministic test patterns that are generated off-line and stored in the system.
- A careful tradeoff between the deterministic pattern lengths of the core must therefore be made in order to produce a globally optimal solution.

6. Low-Power Test Data Compression

- High test data volume leads to a high testing time and may exceed the limited memory depth of ATE.
- Test data compression involves encoding a test set so as to reduce its size.
- ATE limitations, i.e., tester storage memory and bandwidth gap between the ATE and the CUT, may hence be overcome.
- Using compressed test data involves having an on-chip decoder which decompresses the data.
- Low-power test data compression techniques are needed to concurrently reduce scan power dissipation and test data volume during test.

6. Low-Power Test Data Compression

Coding-based schemes

- Use of 0-filling on ATPG test cubes and then encode runs of 0’s with Golomb codes (run-length codes) for reducing the number of transitions (75%).
- Golomb coding is very inefficient for runs of 1’s.
- A synchronization signal between the ATE and the CUT is required as the size of the compressed data (codeword) is of variable length.
- Alternating run-length coding improves the encoding efficiency of Golomb coding (can encode both runs of 0’s and runs of 1’s).

6. Low-Power Test Data Compression

Linear-decompression-based schemes (1/2)

- Linear Decoders consist of XORs and flip-flops.
- In LFSR reseeding:
  - Deterministic test cubes generated by expanding seeds.
  - Typically 1-5% of bits in test vector specified.
  - Most bits need not be considered when seed computed.
  - Size of seed much smaller than size of vector.
- Problem: X’s in test cubes filled randomly.
- Results in excessive switching during scan shifting.

6. Low-Power Test Data Compression

Linear-decompression-based schemes (2/2)

- Low power LD using LFSR reseeding can be used.
- LFSR reseeding not used to directly encode specified bits:
  - Each test cube divided into blocks.
  - LFSR reseeding used only to produce blocks containing transitions.
  - For blocks not containing transitions:
    - Logic value fed into scan chain simply held constant.
- Reduces number of transitions in scan chain.
- Efficient solution to trade-off between test data compression and test power reduction.
6. Low-Power Test Data Compression

Broadcast-scan-based schemes (1/2)

- Segmented Addressable Scan (SAC)
- Based on broadcasting the same value to multiple scan segments
- SAC enhances the Illinois scan architecture by avoiding the limitation of having to have all segments compatible to benefit from the segmentation
- Test power is reduced as segments which are incompatible during the time needed to upload a given test pattern are not clocked

6. Low-Power Test Data Compression

Broadcast-scan-based schemes (2/2)

- Scan cells are configured as an SRAM-like structure using PRAS scan cells
- PRAS allows individual accessibility to each scan cell, thus eliminating unnecessary switching activity during scan, while reducing the test application time and test data volume by updating only a small fraction of scan-cells

7. Low-Power RAM Testing

- Motivated by the need to concurrently test several banks of memories in a system to reduce test time
- A first strategy is to reorder memory tests to reduce the switching activity on each address line while retaining the fault coverage and the memory overall test time
- Power dissipation reduced by a factor of two to sixteen
- A special design of the BIST circuitry is needed

- Addressing sequence is fixed to “word line after word line” and the pre-charge activity is restricted to only two columns for each clock cycle: the selected column and the following one

7. Low-Power RAM Testing

- A second strategy is to exploit the predictability of the addressing sequence to reduce the pre-charge activity during test
- Pre-charge circuits contribute to up to 70% to power dissipation
- In functional mode, the cells are selected in random sequence, and all pre-charge circuits need to be always active, while during the test mode the access sequence is known, and hence only the columns that are to be selected need to be pre-charged
- This low-power test mode can be implemented by using a modified pre-charge control circuitry, and by exploiting the first degree of freedom of March tests, which allows choosing a specific addressing sequence
- Addressing sequence is fixed to “word line after word line” and the pre-charge activity is restricted to only two columns for each clock cycle: the selected column and the following one

Summary and Conclusions

- Test throughput and manufacturing yield may be affected by excessive test power
- Therefore, lowering test power has been and is still a focus of intense research and development
- Following points have been surveyed:
  - Test power parameters and contributors
  - Problems induced by an increased test power
  - Structural and algorithmic solutions for low-power test along with their impacts on parameters such as fault coverage, test time, area overhead, circuit performance penalty, and design flow modification

- 50% power savings with negligible impact on area overhead and memory performance
Summary and Conclusions

- Additional concerns
  - Testing when new low-power design techniques used
- Dynamic power management techniques
  - "Shut-down" parts of design when idle
  - Testing currently done sequentially
  - Test deals with power domains one at a time
  - Practice becoming inadequate due to test time concern
- Multiple-voltage domains used to reduce power
  - How to safely handle test of such designs?
- ...