Abstract

The design of electrodes for polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) is a delicate balancing of transport media. Conductance of gas, electrons, and protons must be optimized to provide efficient transport to and from the electrochemical reactions. This is accomplished through careful consideration of the volume of conducting media required by each phase and the distribution of the respective conducting network. In addition, the issue of electrode flooding cannot be neglected in the electrode design process. This review is a survey of recent literature with the objective to identify common components, designs and assembly methods for PEMFC electrodes. We provide an overview of fabrication methods that have been shown to produce effective electrodes and those that we have deemed to have high future potential. The relative performances of the electrodes are characterized to facilitate comparison between design methodologies.
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1. Introduction

The first application of a proton exchange membrane (PEM), also referred to as a polymer electrolyte membrane, in a fuel cell was in the 1960s as an auxiliary power source in the Gemini space flights. Subsequently, advances in this technology were stagnant until the late 1980s when the fundamental design underwent significant reconfiguration. New fabrication methods, which have now become conventional, were adopted and optimized to a high degree. Possibly, the most significant barrier that PEM fuel cells had to overcome was the costly amount of platinum required as a catalyst. The large amount of platinum in original PEM fuel cells is one of the reasons why fuel cells were excluded from commercialization. Thus, the reconfiguration of the PEM fuel cell was targeted rather directly on the electrodes employed and, more specifically, on reducing the amount of platinum in the electrodes. This continues to be a driving force for further research on PEM fuel cell electrodes.

A PEM fuel cell is an electrochemical cell that is fed hydrogen, which is oxidized at the anode, and oxygen that is reduced at the cathode. The protons released during the oxidation of hydrogen are conducted through the proton exchange membrane to the cathode. Since the membrane is not electrically conductive, the electrons released from the hydrogen travel along the electrical detour provided and an electrical current is generated. These reactions and pathways are shown schematically in Fig. 1.

At the heart of the PEM fuel cell is the membrane electrode assembly (MEA). The MEA is pictured in the schematic of a single PEM fuel cell shown in Fig. 1. The MEA is typically sandwiched by two flow field plates that are often mirrored to make a bipolar plate when cells are stacked in series for greater voltages. The MEA consists of a proton exchange membrane, catalyst layers, and gas diffusion layers (GDL). Typically, these components are fabricated individually and then pressed together at high temperatures and pressures.

As shown in Fig. 1, the electrode is considered herein as the components that span from the surface of the membrane to gas channel and current collector. A schematic of an electrode is illustrated in Fig. 2. Though the membrane is an integral part of the MEA, a review of the design and fabrication of polymer electrolyte membranes is beyond the scope of this paper. However, the interface between the membrane and the electrode is critical and will be given its due attention. Current collectors and gas channels, typically in the form of bipolar plates, will not be reviewed herein.

An effective electrode is one that correctly balances the transport processes required for an operational fuel cell, as shown in Fig. 2. The three transport processes required are...
the transport of:
1. protons from the membrane to the catalyst;
2. electrons from the current collector to the catalyst through the gas diffusion layer; and
3. the reactant and product gases to and from the catalyst layer and the gas channels.

Protons, electrons, and gases are often referred to as the three phases found in a catalyst layer. Part of the optimization of an electrode design is the attempt to correctly distribute the amount of volume in the catalyst layer between the transport media for each of the three phases to reduce transport losses. In addition, an intimate intersection of these transport processes at the catalyst particles is vital for effective operation of a PEM fuel cell. Each portion of the electrode will now be introduced.

1.1. Catalyst layer

The catalyst layer is in direct contact with the membrane and the gas diffusion layer. It is also referred to as the active layer. In both the anode and cathode, the catalyst layer is the location of the half-cell reaction in a PEM fuel cell. The catalyst layer is either applied to the membrane or to the gas diffusion layer. In either case, the objective is to place the catalyst particles, platinum or platinum alloys, within close proximity of the membrane.

The first generation of polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) used PTFE-bound Pt black electrocatalysts that exhibited excellent long-term performance at prohibitively high cost. These conventional catalyst layers generally featured expensive platinum loadings of 4 mg/cm². A generous amount of research has been directed at reducing Pt loading below 0.4 mg/cm². This is commonly achieved by developing methods to increase the utilization of the platinum that is deposited. Recently, platinum loadings as low as 0.014 mg/cm² have been reported using novel sputtering methods. As a consequence of this focused effort, the cost of the catalyst is no longer the major barrier to the commercialization of PEM fuel cells.

In addition to catalyst loading, there are a number of catalyst layer properties that have to be carefully optimized to achieve high utilization of the catalyst material: reactant diffusivity, ionic and electrical conductivity, and the level of hydrophobicity all have to be carefully balanced. In addition, the resiliency of the catalyst is an important design constraint.

1.2. Gas diffusion layer

The porous gas diffusion layer in PEM fuel cells ensures that reactants effectively diffuse to the catalyst layer. In addition, the gas diffusion layer is the electrical conductor that transports electrons to and from the catalyst layer. Typically, gas diffusion layers are constructed from porous carbon paper, or carbon cloth, with a thickness in the range of 100–300 μm. The gas diffusion layer also assists in water management by allowing an appropriate amount of water to reach, and be held at, the membrane for hydration. In addition, gas diffusion layers are typically wet-proofed with a PTFE coating to ensure that the pores of the gas diffusion layer do not become congested with liquid water.

1.3. Electrode designs

Proven and emerging methods that are used to construct integrated membrane-electrodes are illuminated in this review. Two widely employed electrode designs are the PTFE-bound and thin-film electrodes. Emerging meth-
ods include those featuring catalyst layers formed with electrodeposition and vacuum deposition (sputtering). In general, electrode designs are differentiated by the structure and fabrication of the catalyst layer. As well, we highlight recent accomplishments in the development of gas diffusion layers. However, most commercial PEM fuel cells and the majority of those reported herein still employ conventional carbon cloth or paper. There has been a significant amount of research conducted on producing composite gas diffusion layers with graded porosity and wet-proofing, as well as the optimization of carbon and PTFE loading in the gas diffusion layer. This report also includes a section describing some recent advances in increasing the surface area of the catalyst by optimization of catalyst supports.

It is evident throughout the report that the most common electrode design currently employed is the thin-film design. The thin-film design is characterized by the thin Nafion film that binds carbon supported catalyst particles. The thin Nafion layer provides the necessary proton transport in the catalyst layer. This is a significant improvement over its predecessor, the PTFE-bound catalyst layer, which requires the less effective impregnation of Nafion. However, one fault of the Nafion thin-film method is its reduced resiliency. Methods of increasing this resiliency, such as using a thermoplastic form of the ionomer, have been found and are reported herein. Sputtered deposited catalyst layers have been shown to provide some of the lowest catalyst loadings, as well as the thinnest layers. The short conduction distance of the thin sputtered layer dissipates the requirement of a proton-conducting medium, which can simplify production. The performance of the state of the art catalyst layer electrodes for direct comparison with the current thin-film method. The process employed for forming the PTFE-bound catalyst layer MEA in their study is detailed below.

1. 20 wt.% percent of Pt/C catalyst particles were mechanically mixed for 30 min in a solvent.
2. PTFE emulsion was added until it occupied 30% of the mixture.
3. A bridge-builder and a peptization agent were added, followed by 30 min of stirring.
4. The slurry was coated onto the wet-proofed carbon paper using a coating apparatus.
5. The electrodes were subsequently dried for 24 h in ambient air, and then baked at 225 °C for 30 min.
6. The electrodes were rolled and then sintered at 350 °C for 30 min.
7. A 5 wt.% Nafion solution was brushed onto the electrode catalyst layer (2 mg/cm²).
8. The Nafion-impregnated electrodes were placed in an oven at 80 °C and allowed to dry for an hour in ambient air.
9. Once dry, the electrodes were bonded to the H+ form of the polymer electrolyte membrane through hot pressing at 145 °C for 3 min at a pressure of 193 atm to complete the membrane electrode assembly.

2.1. Nafion impregnation

Lee et al. [7] investigated the effect of Nafion impregnation on commercial low-platinum loading PEMFC electrodes. The researchers employed a conventional MEA with PTFE-bound catalyst layers featuring platinum loadings of 0.4 mg/cm². Nafion was impregnated in electrode structures, with the Nafion loadings varying from 0 to 2.7 mg/cm², by a brushing method. The results presented by Lee et al. depict a non-linear relationship between performance and Nafion loading. In addition, the polarization curves showed the effect that the oxidant composition has on the optimum amount of Nafion loading. When the oxidant was air, there was a sharp increase in performance as the Nafion loading was increased to 0.6 mg/cm². However, performance dropped as
The researchers found that 0.6 mg/cm² was the ideal Nafion loading when operating on air. When pure oxygen was employed as the oxidant, the performance increased with Nafion loading up to 1.9 mg/cm². This difference is due to mass transport being the limiting rate when air is the oxidant, as the partial pressure of oxygen is much lower. Without the addition of some Nafion, the majority of the catalyst sites were inactive. However, as more Nafion is added the porosity of the composite decreases and limits mass transfer. The same phenomenon was originally presented by Ticianelli et al. [9].

3. Thin-film methods

The present convention in fabricating catalyst layers for PEM fuel cells is to employ thin-film methods. In his 1993 patent, Wilson [3] described the thin-film technique for fabricating catalyst layers for PEM fuel cells with catalyst loadings less than 0.35 mg/cm². In this method the hydrophobic PTFE traditionally employed to bind the catalyst layer is replaced with hydrophilic perfluorosulfonate ionomer (Nafion). Thus, the binding material in the catalyst layer is composed of the same material as the membrane. Even though PTFE features effective binding qualities and imparts beneficial hydrophobicity in the gas diffusion layers, there is no particular benefit to its presence in the catalyst layer [11]. The resilient binding of PTFE catalyst layer is traded for the enhanced protonic conductivity of a Nafion-bound thin-film catalyst layer. Thin-film catalyst layers have been found to operate at almost twice the power density of PTFE-bound catalyst layers. This correlates with an active area increase from 22 to 45.4% when a Nafion-impregnated and PTFE-bound catalyst layer is replaced with a thin-film catalyst layer [10]. Moreover, thin-film MEA manufacturing techniques are more established and applicable to stack fabrication [6]. However, an active area of 45% suggests there is still significant potential for improvement.

The procedure for forming a thin-film catalyst layer on the membrane, according to Wilson’s 1993 patent [3], is as follows:

1. Combine a 5% solution of solubilized perfluorosulfonate ionomer (such as Nafion) and 20% wt Pt/C support catalyst in a ratio of 1:3 Nafion/catalyst.
3. Mix the solution with ultrasound until the catalyst is uniformly distributed and the mixture is adequately viscous for coating.
4. Ion-exchange the Nafion membrane to the Na⁺ form by soaking it in NaOH, then rinse and let dry.
5. Apply the carbon–water-glycerol ink to one side of the membrane. Two coats are typically required for adequate catalyst loading.
6. Dry the membrane in a vacuum with the temperature of approximately 160°C.
7. Repeat Steps 5 and 6 for the other side of the membrane.
8. Ion-exchange the assembly to the protonated form by lightly boiling the MEA in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ and rinsing in de-ionized water.
9. Place carbon paper/cloth against the film to produce a gas diffusion layer.

Alternatively, the catalyst layer can be applied using a transfer printing method in which the catalyst layer is cast to a PTFE blank. The catalyst layer is then decaled on to the membrane. This process is mainly used to ease fabrication in a research laboratory [1]. Moreover, direct coating methods (catalyst layer is cast directly onto the membrane) have been shown to provide higher performance because they offer better ionic connection between the membrane and the ionomer in the catalyst layer [6]. To improve platinum utilization, Qi and Kaufman (QK) [12] boiled or steamed the electrodes as the last step in the fabrication of their thin-film electrodes. Their paper presented a significant increase in the performance over the entire spectrum of current densities when the electrodes were steamed or boiled for 10 min. Gamburzey and Appleby (GA) [13] also applied a thin-film catalyst layer to the carbon cloth gas diffusion layer. Their methodology is schematically described in Fig. 3.

Paganin et al. [14] documented the results of a fuel cell with a thin-film catalyst layer. Alternatively to Wilson’s 1993 patent [3], the research group brushed the catalyst slurry (containing isopropanol instead of glycerol to achieve the desired viscosity) onto the gas diffusion layer rather than the membrane. The group was able to achieve good performance with platinum and Nafion loadings of 0.4 and 1.1 mg/cm², respectively, using 20 wt.% Pt/C catalyst particles.
The DLR research group in Germany [15,16] has developed a dry layer preparation method for fabricating catalyst layers bound by either PTFE or Nafion. Their method consists of dry mixing either supported or unsupported catalyst with PTFE or Nafion powder and spraying the atomized dry mixture in a nitrogen stream onto either the porous carbon diffusion layer or the membrane. Subsequently, the assembly would be hot-pressed or rolled. Some of the benefits of the dry layer technique are its simplicity because of the lack of evaporation steps, and its ability to create graded layers with multiple mixture streams. In addition, the platinum loading in the electrode fabricated is reported to be as low as 0.08 mg/cm². The cell performance results presented by the DLR group depict a preparation method with good future potential for use in MEA mass production.

Qi and Kaufman (QK) [17] of the H Power Corporation reported in 2003 on low Pt loading high performance cathodes for PEM fuel cells. The method used was of the thin-film variety, in which supported catalyst was mixed into a Nafion and water solution without the addition of organic solvents. The viscous solution was then applied to an ELAT gas diffusion layer and dried at moderate temperatures. The carbon-supported catalysts were purchased from E-TEK. QK achieved some of the highest power densities reported in this review (0.72 W/cm² at 75°C) [17]. All of QK’s test cells featured Nafion 112 membranes.

The power densities listed in Table 1 demonstrate the contrast between the performance of thin-film catalyst layers and PTFE-bound catalyst layers in the study performed by Chun et al. [6]. There is a dramatic increase in the performance when the catalyst layer is formed via the thin-film method. In addition, the polarization curves show the increase in performance obtained when the catalyst layer is directly coated onto the membrane instead of transfer printing with a PTFE blank. This enhancement is a result of the intimate interface formed between the Nafion in the catalyst ink and the membrane when the direct coating of the membrane is employed.

Paganin et al. [14] investigated the effect of platinum loading on their thin-film cell with a Pt/C weight ratio 20 wt.%. They found that the performance in the cathode improved significantly when the loading was increased from 0.1 to 0.3 mg/cm². This is an effect of the increased active area. Conversely, there was a slight reduction in performance when the loading was increased to 0.4 mg/cm². No explanation was given for this response, but it could be caused by reduced reactant transport to the areas closest to the membrane. They compared loadings of 0.1 and 0.4 mg/cm² in the anode and found the lower loading to provide better performance. QK[17] found the highest performance with a Pt/C weight ratio 20 wt.% and a platinum loading of 0.20 ± 0.05 mg/cm². The influence of platinum loading on QK’s cell is presented in Fig. 4.

### Table 1

Comparison of the performance of various MEAs (Nafion 115 membrane, H₂/O₂ pressure = 1/1 atm, H₂/O₂ feed rate = 8.5/3.8 l/min) [6]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of electrode</th>
<th>Power density at 200 mA/cm² (mW/cm²)</th>
<th>Power density at 0.6 V (mW/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTFE-bound</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin-film, direct membrane coating</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin-film, transfer printing (20% Pt/C)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin-film, transfer printing (60% Pt/C)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Effect of Pt loading on performance for electrodes made using E-TEK 20% Pt/C, 35±5°C [17].

### 3.1. Nafion loading

Paganin et al. [14] ascertained that, in their thin-film catalyst layer, when the Nafion loading was increased from 0.87 to 1.75 mg/cm² the performance improved significantly. Moreover, the performance deteriorated at higher current densities when the Nafion loading was increased beyond 2.2 mg/cm², which is equivalent to an optimum Nafion percentage of 33% of the catalyst layer weight. These values have been supported by several other recent studies [13,17,18]. Fig. 5 is a schematic of the catalyst layer that depicts the effect of Nafion loading. The effect of Nafion loading, as found by QK [17], is presented in Fig. 6.

The effect of Nafion loading on performance is aptly depicted by the results of Song et al. [19], who varied the Nafion content from 0.2 to 2.0 mg/cm² in a thin-film catalyst layer featuring a platinum loading 0.4 mg/cm².
Table 2 shows that the increase in Nafion loading from 0.2 to 0.8 mg/cm² dramatically increased the power density in both spectrums. This indicates an increased utilization of the platinum. However, when the Nafion concentration was further increased to 2.0 mg/cm², the only change was a sharp drop in the power density at higher current densities. This is because additional Nafion is blocking reactant gases and the hydrophilic Nafion is likely trapping water in the catalyst layer.

3.2. Organic solvents

Organic solvents such as Glycerol are typically added to the ink mixture to improve paintability. Chun et al. [6] investigated the effect of glycerol in the catalyst ink on the performance of their thin-film electrodes. They found that high glycerol content (3:1 glycerol–5% Nafion solution) in the catalyst ink causes a significant drop in performance at current densities above 350 mA/cm². Chun et al. suggest that high glycerol loading reduces the contact area between the catalyst and the Nafion, and limits the charge transfer.

3.3. Pore formers in the catalyst layer

Fischer et al. [20] investigated the effect of additional porosity in the catalyst layers of thin-film catalyst layer fuel cells. They constructed their electrodes using a hot spray method, in which catalyst slurry containing catalyst and Nafion was sprayed on to the gas diffusion layer. To create additional porosity, several types of pore formers were added to the slurry, including:

- low temperature decomposable (ammonium carbonate);
- high temperature decomposable (ammonium oxalate); and
- soluble additives (lithium carbonate).

Without pore formers, the porosity of the catalyst layer was 35%. Ammonium carbonate and ammonium oxalate increased the porosity to 42 and 48%, respectively. With the addition of lithium carbonate, the porosity increased to 65%. However, it was shown that the addition of pore formers made a negligible difference on performance when the cell is supplied with oxygen. However, there was a significant performance improvement when the oxidant was air (reactant transport became the limiting factor).

in the catalyst layer. The pore former is mixed with the ink that forms the catalyst layer. Following the painting of the catalyst layer, the pore former material is dissolved and pores are formed. Calcium carbonate is a typical pore former [21]. Increased efficiency was found with the addition of pore former until the ink held 44 wt.% pore former. At this point, the efficiency was lower than that of the no pore former case. With more pore former present, the mass transfer rate increases. However, the electron and proton transport rates readily decrease when pore former is the added. GA found the optimum pore former content to be 33 wt.%.

Contrary to the previously discussed increase in performance with the addition of pore former in the catalyst layer, Yoon et al. [22] found a decrease in performance with the addition of either 27 or 60 wt.% ethylene glycol as a pore forming agent. However, no explanation was given for this and it can only be assumed that ethylene glycol is a poor choice for a pore forming agent.

3.4. Thermoplastic ionomers

Wilson et al. [1] introduced a method of using thermoplastic ionomers into the catalyst layer to counter the steadily decaying performance of fuel cells with poorly bound catalyst layers. This work was prompted by the discovery that Nafion can be converted to a thermoplastic form by ion-exchanging the Nafion with large hydrophobic counter ions such as tetrabutylammonium (TBA$^+$). In the thermoplastic form, the ionomer can be processed in a melted phase, which leads to the possibility of fabricating ionomer structures by mold- ing and extruding. The fabrication of the thermoplastic catalyst layer is similar to the thin-film method described by Wilson [3] (with the inclusion of TBA$^+$ in the mixing of the ink). However, ion-exchanging the MEA to the protomated (H$^+$) was hindered by the hydrophobic TBA$^+$. Consequently, the thermoplastic ionomer requires a more rigorous ion-exchanging process than conventional thin-film catalyst layers. The results presented depict a fuel cell with an adequate power density and low Pt loadings (0.12 mg/cm$^2$). In addition, the power density decreases by only 10% after 4000 h of operation. Chun et al. [6] also ion-exchanged the catalyst layer ionomer to the TBA$^+$ form during the catalyst layer preparation. However, no conclusions on molding or extruding techniques were made. The effect of the ion-exchange on the resiliency of the catalyst layer was not explored in this paper.

Yoon et al. [22] recently experimented with the effect of ion-exchanging the catalyst layer Nafion ionomer to the TBA$^+$ form. This was achieved through the addition of tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAOH) to the original catalyst slurry containing electrocatalyst, Nafion ionomer, and water. Both the conventional and TBA$^+$ versions of thin-film catalyst layers were sprayed on the gas diffusion layers at various droplet sizes. It was visible in scanning electron micrographs that the grain size in the catalyst increases two-fold with the addition of TBAOH. The change in performance due to the addition of TBAOH is depicted in Table 3. In addition, it can be seen that the optimal case of the thermoplastic form of the ionomer performs moderately better than the non-thermoplastic case between current densities of 200–500 mA/cm$^2$. At high current densities the thermoplastic form of ionomer performs markedly better. Yoon et al.’s results also show that too fine or too coarse of droplet size can have a significant effect on the performance.

3.5. Colloidal method

An alternative method to conventional thin-film techniques is the colloidal method. Typically, the catalyst layers are applied as a solution. It is well understood that Nafion forms a solution in solvents with dielectric constants greater than 10. A typical solvent is isopropyl alcohol, which has a dielectric constant of 18.3. When normal-butyl acetate, which has a dielectric constant of 5.01, is employed as the solvent, a colloid forms in lieu of a solution. Shin et al. [23] suggested that in the conventional solution method the catalyst particles could be excessively covered with ionomer, which leads to under-utilization of platinum. In addition, it was proposed that in the colloidal method the ionomer colloids absorb the catalyst particles and larger Pt/C agglomerates are formed. The colloidal method is known to cast a continuous network of ionomer that enhances proton transport.

Shin et al. [23] prepared colloidal catalyst ink with a method similar to the conventional thin-film approach. A mixture of Pt/C powder and Nafion ionomer was dropped drop by drop into the normal-butyl acetate solvent to form the ionomer colloids. The ink was then treated ultrasonically to allow the colloids to absorb the Pt/C powder. The ink was then sprayed via air brushing onto the carbon paper, which was to be used as the gas diffusion layer. It was stated that the colloidal method is the preferred ink for spraying methods, as it forms larger agglomerates. Small agglomerates formed by the solution method have a tendency to penetrate too far into the gas diffusion layer, blocking pores needed for gas transport.

The thickness of a catalyst layer that Shin et al. [23] formed by the colloidal ink was twice that of the 0.020 mm thick layer formed with solution ink. In addition, the size of Pt/C agglomerates increased from 550 to 736 nm with the introduction of the colloidal method. The catalyst layers formed with colloidal ink were hot-pressed to a Nafion 115
membrane and tested in a single cell test apparatus, along with a similar cell featuring catalyst layers formed by the solution method. The colloidal method dramatically outperformed the solution method at high current densities (see Table 4 for power densities). This is attributed to a significant increase in the proton conductivity, as well as a moderate enhancement of the mass transport in the catalyst layer formed with the colloidal ink. Shin et al. quantified these improvements by inserting resistance layers, formed from inactive catalyst layers, between either the membrane and active catalyst layer or the active catalyst layer and the gas diffusion layer. The increase in proton conductivity is due to the continuous network of ionomer in the colloidal catalyst layer. The increased mass transport is a product the larger agglomerates of Pt/C in the colloidal catalyst layer, which translates to a higher porosity, allowing a greater flux of the reactant and product gases.

3.6. Controlled self assembly

Middelman [24] of Nedstack fuel cell technology reported on the development of a catalyst layer that features a controlled morphology to enhance performance. A fabrication method to create a highly oriented catalyst morphology was revealed as an alternative to conventional methods that typically create a random morphology. To create highly oriented structures, Middelman increased the mobility of the catalyst layer with high temperatures and chemical additives. Then an electric field was employed as the driving force to orient the strands. Middelman suggests that this method could increase Pt utilization to almost 100%, and states that increases in voltages of 20% are obtained with this process.

4. Vacuum deposition methods

Common vacuum deposition methods include chemical vapor deposition, physical or thermal vapor deposition, and sputtering. Sputtering is commonly employed to form catalyst layers and is known for providing denser layers than the alternative evaporation methods [25]. The sputtering of catalyst layers consists of a vacuum evaporation process that removes portions of a coating material (the target) and deposits a thin and resilient film of the target material onto an adjacent substrate. A schematic of the sputtering apparatus is shown in Fig. 7. In the case of sputtered catalyst layers, the target material is the catalyst material and the substrate can be either the gas diffusion layer or the membrane. Sputtering provides a method of depositing a thin catalyst layer (onto either the membrane or the gas diffusion layer) that delivers high performance combined with a low Pt loading.

The entire catalyst layer is in such intimate contact with the membrane that the need for ionic conductors in the catalyst layer is resolved [4]. Moreover, platinum and its alloys are easily deposited by sputtering [26]. The success of the sputtering method on reducing platinum loading depends heavily on the reduction in the size of catalyst particles below 10 nm. State of the art thin-film electrodes feature Pt loading of 0.1 mg/cm² [4]. A 5 nm sputtered platinum film amounts to a platinum loading of 0.014 mg/cm². However, the performance of a fuel cell with a sputtered catalyst layer can vary by several orders of magnitude depending on the thickness of the sputtered catalyst layer [5].

According to Weber et al. [26], fuel cells with sputter deposited catalyst layers were first investigated by Cahan and Bockris in the late 1960s. Half a decade later, the method was further refined by Asher and Batzold, but without adequate power density. In 1987, Weber et al. continued to explore the belief that electrode fabrication could be significantly streamlined if platinum was applied directly to a wet-proofed substrate by vacuum deposition (i.e. sputtering). In their study, they sputtered platinum onto wet-proofed, porous substrates that were then used as hydrogen and oxygen electrodes. Their early results showed that the hydrogen electrodes were limited by the rate of mass transfer at low current densities (5–20 mA/cm²). However, their oxygen electrodes performed considerably better; to current densities as high as 500 mA/cm². They found that the performance of their sputtered electrodes depended more on the substrate preparation than on the sputtering process. Substrate preparation includes the impregnation of PTFE and carbon powder into
the porous substrate. Typical Pt loadings in this study were 0.15 mg/cm² and reached as high as 0.6 mg/cm².

In 1997, Hirano et al. [27] documented their study of sputter-deposited catalyst layers in high performance PEM fuel cells. The cathode featured an uncatalyzed E-TEK electrode with a sputtered catalyst layer featuring loadings between 0.04 and 0.1 mg Pt/cm². The anode they employed was always a commercial E-TEK electrode. The anode and cathode catalyst layers both featured a Naftion loading of 0.6 mg/cm² to improve protonic conductivity. The performance of the cells with a sputtered platinum loading of 0.1 mg/cm² was nearly equivalent to that of the commercial variant. However, there was a visible drop in performance at very high current densities. One case, which featured a platinum loading of 0.04 mg/cm², suffered a dramatic increase in resistances because of the low active area. However, this low loading could be effective in low-power portable applications because at 200 mA/cm² the cell featured a respectable power density of 160 mW/cm².

O’Hayre et al. [5] of the Rapid Prototyping Lab at Stanford University reported in 2002 on their development of a catalyst layer with ultra-low platinum loading. Their paper suggests that they are developing these electrodes for use in micro-fuel cells since it was stated that the sputtering process is compatible with many other integrated circuit fabrication techniques. O’Hayre et al. also suggest the future ability to apply the gas diffusion layer with a sputtering process. In their study, they deposited a single sputtered platinum layer with a nominal thickness of 2–1000 nm to Naftion 117. After the catalyst layer was applied to both sides of the membrane, the catalyst layers were covered by carbon cloth. They were not hot-pressed or fixed by any other method. The operating conditions used in their performance tests were dry oxygen and dry hydrogen at the ambient temperature and pressure. They found that films only 5–10 nm thick produced the best performance when the catalyst was applied to smooth Naftion. This corresponds to platinum loadings of 0.01–0.02 mg/cm².

The performance of the O’Hayre et al. [5] fuel cell dropped dramatically when the thickness of the catalyst layer was less than 5 nm or greater than 10 nm. Using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), they depicted the reasoning for this balance. When the layer is very thin, there are only islands of the catalyst material. Once a nominal thickness of 4 nm is reached, the islands coalesce into a single film. At this thickness, a fine crack structure can be found in the film, which provides gas access to the reaction sites closest to the membrane and increases catalyst utilization. When the thickness is increased further, the crack structure becomes coarser as the film increases in mechanical strength. Thus, gas transport to the reaction sites most intimate with the membrane is limited. The effect of roughening the membrane surface prior to sputtering was also investigated. They found that the roughened surface delayed the coalescence of the platinum. The delayed coalescence on the roughened membrane reduces the maximum performance attainable, but reduces the performance sensitivity to the catalyst layer thickness. This can be beneficial since it is difficult to control the thickness of the sputtered layer [5]. A sputtered MEA (thickness of 15 nm, Pt loading of 0.04 mg/cm² on Naftion 115) was compared to a conventional MEA (Pt loading of 0.4 mg/cm² on Naftion 115) purchased from Electrochem, Inc. The power densities obtained in this comparison are presented in Table 5. The conventional MEA produced a maximum power of 50 mW/cm² and the sputtered MEA produced a maximum power of 33 mW/cm². Therefore, 3/5 of the power was produced with 1/10 the catalyst loading.

### 4.1. Graded catalyst deposition

A graded or composite catalyst layer refers to a variety of catalyst layers that are produced with multiple deposition methods. A typical form is a supported catalyst layer, PTFE-bound or thin-film electrode, with an additional sputtering of platinum on the surface of the membrane or electrode. The objective of this method is to reduce the thickness of the supported catalyst layer and increase the catalyst concentration at the interface between the electrode and polymer electrolyte membrane. Reducing the catalyst layer’s thickness is vital for PTFE-bound catalyst layers as the depth that Naftion can be impregnated is limited to 10 μm [28]. Catalyst beyond 10 μm is unreachable by the protons and is therefore inactive. Fig. 8 illustrates the distribution of catalyst concentration in a graded catalyst layer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst layer</th>
<th>Power density at 0.6 V (mW/cm²)</th>
<th>Power density at 0.01 A/cm² (mW/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial MEA, 0.4 mg Pt/cm²</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 nm thin-film Pt, 0.04 mg Pt/cm²</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial MEA, 0.4 mg Pt/cm²</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 nm thin-film Pt, 0.04 mg Pt/cm²</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cell measurements were taken at room temperature using dry (non-humidified) H₂/O₂ at 1 atm. [5]

Comparison of the power density of a commercial MEA with 0.4 mg Pt/cm² and a sputtered MEA with 0.04 mg Pt/cm².
Fig. 8. Change in catalyst concentration with respect to distance from membrane. Reproduced from [25].

trochemically active area was greater by a factor of two for a PTFE-bound electrode with the addition of a sputtered layer. As well, the oxygen reduction reaction overpotential was shown to be lower in the cell featuring the sputtered component.

In a recently granted (2001) patent, held by Cavalca et al. [25] (assigned to Gore Enterprise Holdings Incorporated), a method for fabricating electrodes with catalyst grading in the catalyst layer was divulged. The inventors combined thin-film methods and vacuum deposition techniques, such as electron beam-physical vapor deposition (EB-PVD) and dc magnetron sputtering, to fabricate a catalyst layer with progressive loading. This is not an entirely unique concept. Commercial PTFE-bound catalyst layers have often featured a 50 nm sputtering of platinum to enhance performance [11].

The preparation of the catalyst layer began by mixing a common thin-film ink that contained carbon supported platinum, Nafion solution, and solvents, which was then brushed onto a PTFE blank for transfer-printing. Subsequently, a layer of catalyst, single metal or bimetallic, was deposited via EB-PVD or sputtering onto either the thin-film catalyst layer or the polymer electrolyte membrane. The inventors preferred method of vacuum deposition was EB-PVD because it exhibited greater surface texture, which aids the reaction kinetics. Thus, this method produces a dense pure catalyst layer directly adjacent to the membrane and places dispersed platinum further from the membrane with ionic transport provided by the impregnated Nafion. Table 6 presents the power densities for a single cell that features catalyst grading on the cathode side. This single cell is compared with an identical MEA that does not feature catalyst grading, and thus does not feature the EB-PVD region. It is visible in the polarization curves presented by Cavalca et al. that there is no significant benefit in the range of 0–400 mA/cm². However, at high current densities the performance of the graded cell is far superior. A 30% increase in power density at 1000 mA/cm² is presented. The membrane represented in the polarization curves is a 20 micron thick Gore Select membrane.

4.2. Multiple layer sputtering

Cha and Lee (CL) [4] presented a novel strategy for depositing the catalyst layer onto the membrane (Nafion 115) of a PEM fuel cell. The process consisted of multiple short sputterings separated by an application of carbon-Nafion ink. The process was carried out on both sides of the membrane. After each sputtering, the newly formed film was brushed with a Nafion solution and then again with a Nafion-XC-72 carbon powder-isopropyl mixture. The addition of the carbon powder increases the electrical conductivity in the intermediate Nafion layer. CL found that after enough catalyst had accumulated on the surface, additional sputtering of platinum does not contribute to the amount of active area. A single sputtering thickness of 5 nm was found to be ideal. However, when the Nafion-carbon powder-alcohol mixture was applied between additional 5 nm thick sputterings the performance increased considerably. But, the marginal increase in performance was negligible after five sputterings. CL found that if the same amount of catalyst was used, the case of multiple thin layers performed markedly better than a single thick layer. The best performance was found when the ratio of Nafion to carbon in the applied mixture was 1:1. The result of these electrodes is comparable to conventional carbon supported catalyst electrodes when the sputtering is repeated four times at a thickness of 5 nm and a Nafion–carbon mixture ratio of 1:1 is used. The conventional electrode had a Pt loading level of 0.4 mg/cm², whereas the sputtered electrode featured a loading of only 0.043 mg/cm², resulting in a ten times increase in platinum utilization.

5. Electrodeposition methods

The first disclosure of electrodeposition of the catalytic layer in PEM fuel cells was in the form of Vilambi Reddy et al.’s 1992 US patent [30]. This patent detailed the fabrication of electrodes featuring low platinum loading in which the platinum was electrodeposited into their uncatalyzed carbon substrate in a commercial plating bath. The uncatalyzed carbon substrate consisted of a hydrophobic porous carbon paper that was impregnated with dispersed carbon particles and PTFE. Nafion was also impregnated onto the side of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst layer</th>
<th>Power density at 200 mA/cm² (mW/cm²)</th>
<th>Power density at 0.6 V (mW/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference MEA</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEA with graded catalyst layer</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the carbon substrate that was to be catalyzed. The typical Nafion loading was 1.5 mg/cm².

The Nafion coated carbon paper was placed in a commercial platinum acid-plating bath, along with a platinum counter electrode. The face of the substrate that was not coated with Nafion was most likely masked with some form of a non-conducting film. This step would have been taken to ensure that platinum would only be deposited in regions impregnated with Nafion. Thus, when an interrupted dc current was applied to the electrodes in the plating bath, catalyst ions would pass through the Nafion to the carbon particles and successfully be deposited only where protonic and electronic conduction coexists. This method was able to produce electrodes featuring platinum loadings of 0.05 mg/cm². This is a significant reduction in loading from the state of the art thin-film electrode.

The performance of the aforementioned half-cell was revealed in a subsequent publication [31] by the same research group. Their results showed a definite increase in utilization due to the platinum catalyst only being deposited where the three-phase zone is located. Their electrodeposited catalyst layer electrode, with a Pt loading of 0.05 mg/cm², provided equivalent performance to the then state of the art PTFE-bound electrode with a Pt loading of 0.5 mg/cm².

In the following years, additional research on electrodeposition of platinum onto porous substrates was continued by Verbrugge [32]. According to Verbrugge, a distinguishing difference between his study and the aforementioned patent is the larger amount of sulfuric acid employed by Vilambi Reddy et al. [30]. Another distinguishing feature of Verbrugge’s study is the employment of a membrane instead of a Nafion impregnated layer. Using the area provided by the deposition channel, platinum was selectively electrodeposited through the membrane and into the membrane-electrode interfacial region. Verbrugge suggested that this method has the potential to increase platinum utilization because of the concentrated platinum found at the membrane-electrode interface. However, he did not provide the results of these electrodes implemented in a functional fuel cell.

The objective of studies by Hogarth et al. [33], and later by Gloaguen et al. [34], was to improve the reaction kinetics for the oxygenation of methanol using electrodes fabricated with electrodeposition. Hogarth et al. placed electrodes in a plating bath that contained 0.02 M chloroplatinic acid and exposed only 1 cm² of the PTFE impregnated carbon cloth electrode face by using a water seal. In this study, neither a Nafion layer nor a membrane film was applied to the carbon substrate prior to electrodeposition. The Gloaguen et al. study focused on the oxygen reduction reaction kinetics of electrodes formed with the electrodeposition of platinum on carbon supports that were bound by Nafion onto a glassy carbon stick. One of the most significant conclusions of the study was that Pt activity is less related to particle size and more to the fine structure of the platinum surface.

### 5.1. Effect of current control

Recently (1998), a study was undertaken by Choi et al. [35] that investigated electrodeposition of platinum for producing electrodes in PEM fuel cells. Their specific interest was the effect of the current density, duty cycle, and frequency used during the electrodeposition process on the performance of their electrodes. Their uncatalyzed electrode was fabricated by applying a mixture of glycerin, PTFE, carbon black, and isopropyl alcohol to a carbon cloth backing. No Nafion or membrane film was applied to the carbon paper prior to the electrodeposition of the catalyst. A pulse generator was used to control the magnitude of the current passing through the electrodes that were placed in a bath of H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O.

During the electrodeposition they varied the current density from 10 to 50 mA/cm², the duty cycle from 15 to 50%, and the frequency from 0 to 20 Hz. The group found that the optimum current density was 25 mA/cm². At higher values dendritic crystals form and performance falls. However, electrodes were fabricated most efficiently at a current density of 50 mA/cm² by applying an optimized duty cycle and frequency. The power density found for a fuel cell operating at 70 °C with pure oxygen as the oxidant, where the catalyst layers were fabricated with a current density of 50 mA/cm², a duty cycle of 25%, and a frequency 2.5 Hz, was shown to be approximately 276 mW/cm² at a fuel cell voltage of 0.6 V (see Table 7).

### 5.2. Membrane layer

The highest performance published for a fuel cell featuring an electrodeposited catalyst layer was depicted in a US patent granted to Stäb et al. [36] in 2001. In contrast to the Vilambi Reddy et al. patent [30], this group’s uncatalyzed electrode did not feature a Nafion impregnated surface, but rather a thin membrane film. The membrane was approximately 10 µm thick and was applied to the carbon substrate, which was 75 µm thick. The cathodes were fabricated with the addition of a carbon powder sublayer. In the electrodeposition process, the back of the electrode was covered to avoid electrodeposition in locations far from the future membrane-electrode interface. Thus, during fabrication, the electrocatalyst passes from the electrolyte solution through membrane and is deposited where it encounters the electrically conductive carbon. The process deposits cata-

### Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Power density at 0.6 V (mW/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Power densities of a cell fabricated by Stäb et al., which features a cathode
produced by electrodeposition [36]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst layer Power density at 200 mA/cm²</th>
<th>Power density at 0.6 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Produced by electrodeposition</td>
<td>158 408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

lyst only where both protonic and electronic conduction is possible. Using this methodology they were able to obtain some of the lowest platinum loading ever reported for an operational PEM fuel cell (0.0073 mg/cm² in the anode). The resulting power densities of this cell are listed in Table 8. Little detail is given to the conditions and components used to obtain these results. Nevertheless, the results do indicate a promising potential for this method. In this particular case, the cathode is formed with the electrodeposition method and the anode is a standard electrode with a Pt loading of 4 mg/cm². The power density reported at a current density of 200 mA/cm² is 158 mW/cm².

6. Impregnated catalyst layer

The ability to use fabrication techniques that require melt-processable materials, such as molding and extruding, would be extremely valuable in the production of membrane electrode assemblies. The conventional perfluorosulfonate acid membranes are not melt-processable because of side chain entanglement and the ionic interactions between the functional groups [37]. Kim et al. [37] have been working on a melt-processable membrane and the encapsulating MEA, which is formed out of perfluorosulfonyl fluoride copolymer (PF SF). PF SF is melt-processable and can be fabricated into many forms. Kim et al. formed membrane sheets by hot-pressing PF SF powder at 200–250 °C. Since the membrane can be melted, the catalyst ink no longer requires Nafion ionomer. Kim et al. applied a catalyst ink that contained only Pt/C, glycerol and water to both sides of the PF SF preformed sheet. Subsequently, they hot-pressed the catalyzed sheet at 200 °C, which imbedded the catalyst layer into the softened surface of the membrane. This forms a composite membrane–electrode. The MEA is reported to have effective three-phase boundaries and good adhesion between the membrane and the catalyst layer. Though the performance depicted in the polarization curves of a test cell lags the present state of the art electrodes, the method does show promise (Table 9).

7. Catalyst supports

The most common supported catalyst is platinum supported by high surface area carbon and is used in both the cathode and anode. When CO is present in the fuel stream because of reforming, the platinum is alloyed with other materials such as Ruthenium to reduce poisoning of the fuel cell and retain the performance. Electrocatalysts are commonly prepared by solution precipitation, which is followed by reduction of platinum salt in either the gas or liquid phase [13]. Though platinum and platinum alloys are employed in virtually all PEM fuel cell electrodes, other noble metals have been evaluated and there has been development of methods to synthesize non-noble metal catalysts, such as the pyrolysis of iron [38]. Catalyst metals employed in PEM fuel cells will not be reviewed herein as it is beyond the scope of the review. The metal chosen can have a profound effect on fuel cell performance, but does not alter the general design or fabrication of the electrode. However, in the following section it will be shown that the supporting material can influence the general design and fabrication of PEM fuel cell electrodes. The catalyst supports discussed in this section are not relevant to the vacuum deposition and electrodeposition methods of constructing the catalyst layer. In these methods, the catalyst metal is deposited directly onto the membrane or gas diffusion layer and no intermediate support is necessary.

7.1. Pt/C weight ratio

The most common support for the catalyst metal is carbon powder. The platinum to carbon weight ratio (Pt/C) is the ratio of the weight of platinum deposited onto the carbon support to the weight of the carbon support itself. Paganin et al. [14] found that their thin-film cell’s performance was approximately unchanged when the Pt/C weight ratio was varied from 10 to 40 wt.% with a platinum loading of 0.4 mg/cm². However, the performance deteriorated as the weight ratio was increased beyond 40 wt.%. Paganin et al. suggested that this indicates a negligible change in the active catalyst area for weight ratios between 10 and 40 wt.%, and that the active area markedly decreases beyond these values. The Qi and Kaufman [17] electrodes performed marginally better when the platinum to carbon ratio (Pt/C) was increased from 20 to 40% with a platinum loading of 0.2 mg/cm².

7.2. Binary carbon catalyst supports

Wang et al. [39] reported on the use of binary carbon support catalysts. Their thin-film layer was catalyzed with platinum supported on Vulcan XC-72 and Black pearl 2000 car-

Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst layer Power density at 200 mA/cm²</th>
<th>Power density at 0.6 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Produced by electrodeposition</td>
<td>158 408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Power density at 200 mA/cm² (mW/cm²)</th>
<th>Power density at 0.6 V (mW/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bon powders, featuring surface areas of 254 and 1475 m\(^2\)/g and H\(_2\) flow rate at stoichiometry of 5 [39]. Pt loading in cathode and anode are 0.2 and 0.35 mg/cm\(^2\), respectively. Single 136 246
Binary 150 312

Table 10: Power densities for single- and binary-support electrodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support type</th>
<th>Power density at 200 mA/cm(^2) (mW/cm(^2))</th>
<th>Power density at 0.6 V (mW/cm(^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binary</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pt loading in cathode and anode are 0.2 and 0.35 mg/cm\(^2\), respectively. Operating conditions: cell temperature, 60\(^\circ\)C; atmospheric pressure; O\(_2\) and H\(_2\) flow rate at stoichiometry of 5 [39].

To fabricate electrodes, the conducting polymer supported catalyst was mixed with a 15% PTFE solution and applied to the carbon paper gas diffusion layer. These supports were developed to provide a catalyst support that could fulfill the roles of both the electron conducting carbon powder and the Nafion in conventional catalyst layers. The development of these supports dissolves the need for Nafion powder and the Nafion in conventional catalyst layers. The conducting polymers were fabricated from a composite of polypyrrole and polystyrenesulfonate. These supports were developed to provide a catalyst support that could fulfill the roles of both the electron conducting carbon powder and the Nafion in conventional catalyst layers. The development of these supports dissolves the need for Nafion layers or impregnation.

To fabricate electrodes, the conducting polymer supported catalyst was mixed with a 15% PTFE solution and applied to the carbon paper gas diffusion layer. The researchers arrived at maximum current densities of 100 mA/cm\(^2\). Even though this does not compare well with state of the art electrodes, it does suggest future possibilities for this concept if the procedure for the synthesis of the conducting support composite is optimized.

7.4. Carbon nanohorn catalyst supports

Yoshitake et al. [41] deposited platinum catalyst onto high surface area single-wall carbon nanohorns for use in the catalyst layers of PEM fuel cells. The catalyst supports were prepared by CO\(_2\) laser ablation. The platinum was deposited via a colloidal method. The platinum to support ratio in typical PEM fuel cells is 2% and ratios of 20–40% were obtained for the nanohorn supported catalyst particles. The size of particles was 2 nm. The research group compared a fuel cell they prepared with catalyst supported by the usual carbon black to that of a fuel cell with catalyst supported by single-wall carbon nanohorns. The latter was shown to have better performance.

8. Gas diffusion layer development

The gas diffusion layer has many roles to fulfill. Firstly, it is the electronic conductor between the current collecting bipolar plates and the catalyst layers. Thus, thin gas diffusion layers with a high conductivity is desired for electrical efficiency. Secondly, the gas diffusion layer is fabricated in the form of porous media to allow the passage of the reactant and product flows. To improve mass transport, gas diffusion layers can be made more porous at the cost of increased electrical resistance. In addition, the porous gas diffusion layer is often employed as the base substrate for the deposition of the catalyst layer. Another important function of the gas diffusion layer in an MEA is to reject liquid water from the internals of the MEA. If water collects near, or in, the catalyst layer, a large fraction of the catalyst will not be utilized. Typically, PTFE (Teflon) is applied through various methods to the gas diffusion layer in order to eject the water. However, Teflon is not an electric conductor and reduces the porosity, which hinders the transport of reactant gases. Thus, Teflon should be applied with careful measure. The common Nafion content in the solution applied to the gas diffusion layer is 33%.

Paganin et al. [14] fabricated a typical Teflonated gas diffusion layer by filtering a PTFE and carbon powder suspension onto both sides of a carbon cloth. The layer had to be dried for 30 min at 280\(^\circ\)C to remove the dispersion agent from the PTFE suspension. The layer was then sintered at 330\(^\circ\)C. Prior to use, the composite gas diffusion layers were cleansed by heat and chemical treatments.

A recent development in gas diffusion layers is the use of carbon aerogels to form the porous substrate. Glora et al. [42] documented their integration of carbon aerogels in PEM fuel cells. The 300\,\mu\text{m} thick layers featured micron-thin fine-structured layers on both sides of the gas diffusion layer. These fine layers are incorporated to decrease contact resistances between the electrode and the membrane, as well as the current collecting bipolar plate. The highest level of electrical conductivity attained in their study was 28 S/cm in an 80% porous sample. The largest pore sizes were in the range of several microns. The group implemented the gas diffusion layers in a test cell and found a power density only 1/6 that of a typical PEM fuel, but this was attributed to poor catalyst layer preparation and not the gas diffusion layer.

8.1. Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) content

Paganin et al. [14] found that the PTFE content of the gas diffusion layer in their thin-film cell was optimal at a value of 15%. However, no drop in voltage, at any current density, was found when the content was varied from 10 to 40%.

8.2. Influence of carbon powder

Antolini et al. [43] studied the influence of the powder placed in both the gas diffusion and catalyst layers.
of PEM fuel cells. The two carbon powder types investigated were oil-furnace carbon black and acetylene-black, namely Vulcan XC-72R and Shawinigan carbon powder, respectively. The group found that an electrode featuring Shawinigan carbon powder performed substantially better than an electrode containing Vulcan carbon powder. The resulting power densities for each formulation of the gas diffusion layer are listed in Table 11. In addition, they found a drop in performance when varying the content from 10 to 60 wt.%. However, when the oxidant stream consisted of air, the cell performance became more influenced by the PTFE content at elevated current densities.

Song et al. [19] formed a microporous sublayer, consisting of PTFE and carbon powder, that was sandwiched between a wet-proofed carbon paper and a thin-film catalyst layer. They employed ac impedance measurement techniques to optimize their thin-film electrodes. The researchers found that the optimal loading of the microporous sublayer was 3.5 mg/cm², with a PTFE concentration of 30 wt.%. GA [13] from the Center for Electrochemical Systems and Hydrogen Research (CESHR) at Texas A&M University presented a paper in 2002 describing recent progress in performance improvement of PEM fuel cells at their center. The CESH·R-developed gas diffusion layer consists of a 0.65:0.35 acetylene black–PTFE mixture spread and rolled at 3 mg/cm² onto carbon cloth. The porosity of CESH·R gas diffusion layer was much greater than that of the standard ELAT gas diffusion layer that was also used in their study: 60% in comparison to 45%. Moreover, the thickness of the CESH·R gas diffusion layer was 0.08 mm thinner than the standard 0.38 mm ELAT gas diffusion layer. Thus, a shorter diffusion path is a likely factor in the augmented performance of the CESH·R gas diffusion layer over the standard gas diffusion layer.

Qi and Kaufman (QK) [45] documented a study where a microporous sublayer was inserted between the carbon cloth gas diffusion layer and the catalyst layer to improve water management. QK [12] speculated that the improved water management is caused by the microporosity of the sublayer. The macropore size is largely determined by the particle size of the carbon aggregate. A common carbon particle size is 30 nm. QK suggest that stable water particles may not be able to form in such small and hydrophobic pores and is, therefore, less likely to flood.

Lafrazo et al. [44] documented the results of thin-film electrodes with the introduction of an intermediate hydrophobic carbon layer. This sublayer was composed of carbon and PTFE that was sprayed onto the carbon paper backing. They found that the optimal PTFE content was 20 wt.%, though no significant change in performance was found when varying the content from 10 to 60 wt.%. However, when the oxidant stream consisted of air, the cell performance became more influenced by the PTFE content at elevated current densities.

Kong et al. [46] documented an examination of the effect of pore size distribution in the gas diffusion layer. In

### Table 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas diffusion layer</th>
<th>Power density at 200 mA/cm² (mW/cm²)</th>
<th>Power density at 0.6 V (mW/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon cloth/Vulcan</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon cloth/Shawinigan</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon cloth/PTFE</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H₂/O₂ pressures = 2/1 atm for the electrodes with Vulcan on carbon paper or carbon cloth and 2/5 atm for the electrode with Shawinigan on carbon cloth in the gas diffusion layer [43].
order to study this effect pore former was added in varying amounts during the fabrication of the gas diffusion layer. The gas diffusion layer was formed from a viscous mixture containing carbon powder, isopropyl alcohol, and lithium carbonate (the pore former), that was applied to a carbon cloth. The catalyst layer used was thin-film and a Nafion 115 membrane was employed. Kong et al. also compared the pores formed by heat-treating versus the pore former additive. When the PTFE in the gas diffusion layer is heated to 350 K, the PTFE melts and transforms into a fibrous phase, increasing the porosity. They found that a combination of heat-treating and pore former addition produced the highest porosity and power density. The effect of each was visualized with scanning electron microscopy images presented by Kong et al. [46]. Using mercury-intrusion porosimetry measurements they determined that heat-treating increased the pore volume of pores with diameters between 0.03 and 0.07 μm, whereas the addition of pore former increased the pore volume of pores with much larger diameters ranging between 2 and 13 μm. The optimum amount of pore former in the diffusion layer was determined to be 7 mg/cm² with 5 mg/cm² carbon loading in the diffusion layer and 0.4 mg/cm² platinum loading in the catalyst layer. At a voltage of 0.6 V their optimized cell produced a power density of 174 mW/cm², and with a current density of 2000 mA/cm² the cell produced a power density of 136 mW/cm².

9. Conclusion

This report outlined major advances made in the fabrication of electrodes for PEM fuel cells from the PTFE-bound catalyst layers of almost twenty years ago to the present investigation of membrane-impregnated catalyst layers. It was found that the most common form of electrode is that featuring a thin-film catalyst layer. This is a common selection because of the ample proton conductivity provided by the binding Nafion film. This method is shown to significantly increase performance and reduce the level of platinum loading required. It is evident in the report that one of the main resistances in the catalyst layer is the membrane-catalyst layer interface contact resistance. The ability of the interface to conduct protons from the membrane into catalyst layer and the deposited catalyst is crucial. Thin sputtered layers have shown promise for low catalyst loading with adequate performance. This is achieved by the reduced thickness of the sputter deposited catalyst layer. Such short conduction distances alleviate the need for a proton-conducting medium. Electrodeposition methods were introduced and the suggestions of researchers that this method deposits catalyst only where electronic and protonic conduction exists was investigated. This and the ability to mass-produce electrodes in a commercial plating bath are considered to be the key advantages of electrodeposition. Integrated membrane-electrodes were highlighted to show the edge of the research envelope for electrode design. In addition, the continued development of gas diffusion layers and catalyst supports was investigated.
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